

Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric

| Judge's Name: Presenter's Name: | | Score Key: 0 = No Attempt 1 = Developing 2 = Competent 3 = Exemplary | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Please rate the presentation from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one): | | | | |
| Statement of Research Problem/Rationale: Clearly stated questions or hypotheses being addressed Well-explained rationale/justification for the study Project objectives are clearly outlined Literature Review/Background Theory: Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed Gap in knowledge/exploration identified References are acknowledged appropriately | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 3. Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness): a) Clear description of methods used b) Methods are appropriate to address aim/question | 0 0 | 1 1 | 2 2 | 3 3 |
| 4. Analysis/Results: a) Figures/tables used appropriately and clearly to present the data b) Findings are presented clearly and accurately c) Analysis is well explained and appropriately applied | 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 |
| 5. Conclusion/Discussion: a) Addressed study's problem/question b) Conclusions are sufficiently supported by results c) Results are placed into broader framework d) Importance of findings is addressed | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 |
| 6. Presentation Overall: a) Effective overall aesthetic/organization of presentation b) Flow of information is logical and facilitated understanding c) Presenter summarized study clearly d) Presenter answered questions well e) Length of presentation was appropriate | 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 | 3 3 3 3 3 |

Total _____ / 60

Comments: ______