

EVALUATOR NAME(S) AND PROFESSIONAL TITLE(S)

Name	Position	Entity
Desmond Stewart	Interim CIO	Alcorn State University
Jerry Howard	User Services Manager	Alcorn State University
Angela Eley	Project Manager	Alcorn State University
Pavan Kumar	Network Engineer	Alcorn State University
Tim Levy	Technical Director	Alcorn State University

AWARDED VENDOR REPORT

Alcorn State University's (ASU) Office of Purchasing issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) to secure a vendor to provide ASU Outdoor Wi-Fi. On June 10th the committee completed the evaluation of proposals submitted for RFP No: 5540 Alcorn State University AV Classroom Phase II Project. The following potential vendors submitted proposals for evaluation:

- Implemented Technologies Open Edge
- Metrix Solutions Howard Technologies
- Building & Development Technologies

- The award recommendation decision is based on information provided in each proposal. The information below supports our decision on this recommendation. Our comments on the strengths and weaknesses were expounded upon in individual rubrics. After thorough evaluation and great consideration, we recommend that Building & Development Technologies be awarded the contract for the Alcorn State Outdoor WiFi Project RFP Number 5540.

AWARDED VENDOR

- Vendor Building & Development Technologies
Total Score 98

- All items were covered to meet ASU requirements within RFP 5540.
- Clear and concise in vendor's ability to adequately perform the scope of work.
- Resume included the background, past work experience and references to assure that they would be in line with what is to be expected to execute the duties of the contracted job.
- Best cost to meet requirements within RFP 5540.

Vendor Name: Metrix Solutions

Total Score 93

- All items were covered to meet ASU requirements within RFP 5540.
- Clear and concise in vendor's ability to adequately perform the scope of work.
- Resume included the background, past work experience and references to assure that they would be in line with what is to be expected to execute the duties of the contracted job.
- Cost was the major difference with the winning bid.

Vendor Name: Howard Technologies

Total Score 92

- All items were covered to meet ASU requirements within RFP 5540.
- Clear and concise in vendor's ability to adequately perform the scope of work.

- Resume included the background, past work experience and references to assure that they would be in line with what is to be expected to execute the duties of the contracted job.
- Cost was the major difference with the winning bid.

Vendor Name: Open Edge Communications

Total Score 73

- All items were covered to meet ASU requirements within RFP 5540.
- Clear and concise in vendor's ability to adequately perform the scope of work.
- Resume included the background, past work experience and references to assure that they would be in line with what is to be expected to execute the duties of the contracted job.
- Cost far exceeded other vendors' bids.

Vendor Name: Implemented Technologies

Total Score 73

- All items were covered to meet ASU requirements within RFP 5540.
- Clear and concise in vendor's ability to adequately perform the scope of work.
- Resume included the background, past work experience and references to assure that they would be in line with what is to be expected to execute the duties of the contracted job.
- Cost far exceeded other vendors' bids.

RFP 5540 Tallied Scorecard		Possible Points	Vendor 1	Vendor 2	Vendor 3	Vendor 4	Vendor 5
		100	Building & Development Technologies	Metrix Solutions	Howard Technologies	Open Edge	Implemented Technologies
Maximum Possible Points		100	98	93	92	73	73